## II. AMENDMENT TO THE DRAWINGS

The original application includes forty eight (48) drawings. FIGS. 1, 2 & 4 have now been amended as follows:

- 1. **FIG. 1** has been amended to include reference sign **13** to designate an arm of the doll device.
- 2. FIG. 2 has now been amended to to change reference used to designate the perforations from 15 to 151.
  - 3. FIG. 4 has now been amended to clarify the use of multiple LEDs.

To comply with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.121(d), corrected drawing sheets 1, 2 & 4 are annexed hereto.

## **REMARKS**

Concurrently with receiving the office action mailed on May 13, 2008, the Applicant received an office action in connection with copending application numbered 11/294,803, which was filed on December 7, 2005. In that office action, Examiner Jason Pinheiro asserted a number of objections to the drawings pursuant to 37 CFR 1.84(P)(5), as well as an objection to the specification pursuant to MPEP § 608.01(b), and stating that the number of words in the abstract exceeds 150 words.

The above listed amendments to the drawings and specification were made as part of the Applicant's response to the office action in continuation application numbered 11/294,803. Said amendments to the drawings and specification are also set forth herein to maintain consistency between the drawings and specifications of the two applications.

Claims 1-61 were originally in this application. Claims 1-61 were cancelled, and new claims 62-122 were added by the Amendment Prior to First Office Action, dated March 21, 2008.

## **DOUBLE PATENTING**

- 1. In the detailed office action mailed on May 13, 2008, the Examiner rejected claims 62-122 on the ground of non-statutory obvious-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-68 of U.S. Patent No. 6,663,393. Annexed to this response is an executed "Terminal Disclaimer to Obviate a Double Patenting Rejection Over a 'Prior' Patent' (PTO/SB/26).
- 2. Further, in the detailed office action mailed on May 13, 2008, the Examiner provisionally rejected claims 62-122 on the ground of non-statutory obvious-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-32 of co-pending Application No. 11/294,803.

Annexed to this response is an executed "Terminal Disclaimer to Obviate a Provisional Double Patenting Rejection Over a Pending 'Reference' Application" (PTO/SB/25).

3. Attached to this response is a credit card payment form in the amount of \$130.00 for the two required terminal disclaimer fees under 37 CFR 1.20(d). The Applicant is claiming small entity status under 37 CFR 1.27.

## **CONCLUSION**

The Applicant has addressed all of the rejections set forth in the Detailed Office Action mailed on May 13, 2002. This application is ready for issue.

NABIL N. GHALY Applicant, Pro Se

14 Longwood Drive

South Huntington, NY 11746

(631) 549-0980